arXiv:1404.3593v1 [math.AG] 14 Apr 2014

AMOEBA FINITE BASIS OF SOLUTIONS TO A SYSTEM OF n POLYNOMIALS IN n VARIABLES

MOUNIR NISSE

Abstract. We show that the amoeba of a complex algebraic variety defined as the solutions to a generic system of n polynomials in n variables has a finite basis. In other words, it is the intersection of finitely many hypersurface amoebas. Moreover, we give an upper bound of the size of the basis in terms of n and the mixed volume μ of the Newton polytopes of the polynomial equations of the system. Also, we give an upper bound of the degree of the basis elements in terms of μ.

1. Introduction

Let K be an algebraically closed field equipped with a non-trivial real valuation $\nu : K \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$, the tropical variety (or non-Archimedian amoebas) $\mathcal{T}rop(\mathcal{I})$ of an ideal $\mathcal{I} \subset K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ is defined as the topological closure of the set

$$\nu(V(\mathcal{I})) := \{(\nu(x_1), \ldots, \nu(x_n)) \,|\, (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in V(\mathcal{I})\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where $V(\mathcal{I})$ denotes the zero set of $\mathcal{I}$ in $(K^*)^n$ (see for example [MS-09]). A tropical basis for $\mathcal{I}$ is a generating set $\mathscr{B} = \{g_1, \ldots, g_l\}$ of $\mathcal{I}$ such that

$$\mathcal{T}rop(\mathcal{I}) = \bigcap_{j=1}^{l} \mathcal{T}rop(\mathcal{I}_{g_j}),$$

where $\mathcal{I}_{g_j}$ denotes the principal ideal generated by the polynomial g_j. Bogart, Jensen, Speyer, Sturmfels, and Thomas [BGSS-07] gave a lower bounds on the size of such bases when K is the field of Puiseux series $\mathbb{C}((t))$ and the ideal $\mathcal{I}$ is linear with constant coefficients. In [HT-09], Hept and Theobald showed that any tropical variety has a tropical basis. The Archimedean amoeba of a subvariety of the complex torus $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ is its image under the coordinatewise logarithm map. Archimedean Amoebas were introduced by Gelfand, Kapranov, and Zelevinsky in 1994 [GKZ-94]. The purpose of this Note is to show that Held and Theobald's above theorem has an analogue for Archimedian amoebas of codimension n.

An algebraic variety $V \subset (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ of codimension n which is the solutions of a system of n polynomial equations is *generic* if the Jacobian of the logarithmic map restricted to all points of V has maximal rank i.e., equal to n.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14T05, 32A60.

Key words and phrases. Amoebas, hyperplane amoebas, and amoeba basis.

This research is partially supported by NSF MPS grant DMS-1001615, and Korea Institute for Advanced Study (KIAS), Seoul, South Korea.

If the defining ideal $\mathcal{I}(V)$ of V is generated by the set of polynomials $\{g_i\}_{i=1}^{l}$ with the following properties:

(i) $\mathscr{A}(V) = \bigcap_{i=1}^{l} \mathscr{A}(V_{g_i})$;
(ii) $\mathscr{A}(V) \subsetneq \bigcap_{i\in\{1,\ldots,l\}\setminus s} \mathscr{A}(V_{g_i})$ for every $1 \leq s \leq l$,

then we said that $\{g_i\}_{i=1}^{l}$ is an *amoeba basis* of $\mathscr{A}(V)$.

It was shown in [N-14] that the amoeba of a generic complex algebraic variety of codimension $1 < r < n$ does not have a finite basis. In other words, it is not the intersection of finitely many hypersurface amoebas. The aim of this note is to show that if the codimension of our variety V is equal to n (i.e., V is a finite number of points), then its amoeba has a finite basis. In other words, the main result of Hept and Theobald in [HT-09] does have an analogue for Archimedean amoebas of generic complex algebraic varieties of dimension zero.

Theorem 1.1. *The amoeba of an algebraic variety $V \subset (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ defined as the solutions to a generic system of n polynomials $f_1, \ldots, f_n$ in n complex variables has a finite basis of length at most $(n+1)(n^{\mu-1}+1)$ where μ is the mixed volume of the Newton polytopes of the polynomials $f_1, \ldots, f_n$. Moreover, each element of this basis has degree at most μ.*

In Theorem 1.1, if $\mu > 1$ then we can decrease the length of the basis, but the price will be to increase the degree of its elements. If $\mu = 1$ (i.e., $f_1, \ldots, f_n$ is a system of a generic first order polynomials), then the length of the basis is equal to $n+1$.

2. Proof of the main result

Let us recall the description of hyperplane amoebas given by Forsberg, Passare, and Tsikh [FPT-00] and some of their feature that we will use in our proof. In Corollary 4.3 [FPT-00], Forsberg, Passare, and Tsikh showed the following:

Proposition 2.1. *The amoeba $\mathscr{A}(V_f)$ of an affine complex function $f(z) = b_0 + b_1 z_1 + \ldots + b_n z_n$ is equal to the closed (possibly empty) subset in $\mathbb{R}^n$ defined by the inequalities*

$$\begin{aligned} \log|b_0| &\leq \log(\sum_{k=1}^{n} |b_k| e^{u_k}) \\ u_j + \log|b_j| &\leq \log(|b_0| + \sum_{k\neq j}^{n} |b_k| e^{u_k}). \end{aligned}$$

Consider a generic system of n polynomial equations in n complex variables $z = (z_1, \ldots, z_n)$

$$f_1(z_1, \ldots, z_n) = f_2(z_1, \ldots, z_n) = \cdots = f_n(z_1, \ldots, z_n) = 0. \qquad (\mathscr{S})$$

By Bernstein's Theorem [B-75], we know that if Δ_j is the Newton polytope of f_j for $j = 1, \ldots, n$, then the number of complex solutions of $(\mathscr{S})$

counted with multiplicity is equal to the mixed volume $\mu(\Delta_1, \ldots, \Delta_n)$ of the Δ_j's. Let $V := \{v^{(1)}, v^{(2)}, \ldots, v^{(l)}\}$ be the set of complex solutions of $(\mathscr{S})$, with

$$\sum_{i=1}^{l} mult(v^{(i)}) = \mu(\Delta_1, \ldots, \Delta_n),$$

where $mult(v^{(i)})$ denotes the multiplicity of the solution $v^{(i)}$. Let $\mathscr{A}(V) := \{w^{(1)}, w^{(2)}, \ldots, w^{(l)}\}$ be the amoeba of V. If $v^{(i)}$ is equal to $(v_1^{(i)}, \ldots, v_n^{(i)}) \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$, then we denote by $||v^{(i)}||_0$ the following sum:

$$||v^{(i)}||_0 = \sum_{k=1}^{n} |v_k^{(i)}|.$$

Consider the following system of $(n+1)$ polynomial equations of n variables $z = (z_1, \ldots, z_n)$, which we denote by $(\mathscr{G})$:

$$g_0(z) = \prod_{i=1}^{l} \left(1 - \frac{1}{||v^{(i)}||_0} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{|v_k^{(i)}|}{v_k^{(i)}} z_k\right) = 0,$$

$$g_j(z) = \prod_{i=1}^{l} \left(1 - \frac{1 + ||v^{(i)}||_0 - |v_j^{(i)}|}{v_j^{(i)}} z_j + \sum_{k \in \{1,\ldots,n\} \setminus j} \frac{|v_k^{(i)}|}{v_k^{(i)}} z_k\right) = 0,$$

for $j = 1, \ldots n$. We can check that the amoeba of each polynomial g_j for $j = 0, 1, \ldots, n$ is an arrangement of l hyperplane amoebas (counted with multiplicity, because it can happen that $v^{(i)} \neq v^{(m)}$ but $||v^{(i)}||_0 = ||v^{(m)}||_0$ or $v_j^{(i)} = v_j^{(m)}$ for some j). For $s = 1, \ldots, l$, we denote by $\mathscr{H}_j^{(i)}$ the hyperplane with defining polynomial $g_j^{(i)}$ which is the i^{th} factor of g_j for $j = 0, 1, \ldots, n$, i.e.,

$$g_0^{(i)}(z) = 1 - \frac{1}{||v^{(i)}||_0} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{|v_k^{(i)}|}{v_k^{(i)}} z_k, \quad \text{for } i = 1, \ldots, l$$

and

$$g_j^{(i)}(z) = 1 - \frac{1 + ||v^{(i)}||_0 - |v_j^{(i)}|}{v_j^{(i)}} z_j + \sum_{k \in \{1,\ldots,n\} \setminus j} \frac{|v_k^{(i)}|}{v_k^{(i)}} z_k,$$

for $i = 1, \ldots, l$ and $j = 1, \ldots, n$. We denote by $\mathscr{A}(V_{g_j^{(i)}})$ the hyperplane amoeba defined by $g_j^{(i)}$.

By construction, each $v^{(i)}$ is a solution of the system $(\mathscr{G})$, and then $\mathscr{A}(V) \subset \bigcap_{j=0}^{n} \mathscr{A}(V_{g_j})$, where $\mathscr{A}(V_{g_j})$ denotes the arrangement of hypersurface amoebas defined by the polynomial g_j. We can rewrite the system $(\mathscr{G})$ as follows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
g_0(z) & = & g_0^{(1)}(z) & g_0^{(2)}(z) & \ldots & g_0^{(l)}(z) & = & 0 \\
g_1(z) & = & g_1^{(1)}(z) & g_1^{(2)}(z) & \ldots & g_1^{(l)(z)} & = & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
g_n(z) & = & g_n^{(1)}(z) & g_n^{(2)}(z) & \ldots & g_n^{(l)}(z) & = & 0.
\end{array}$$

Let $w \in \bigcap_{j=0}^{n} \mathscr{A}(V_{g_j})$ and $u \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ such that $\mathrm{Log}\,(u) = w$. As we know that the amoeba defined by g_j is the union of the hyperplane amoebas $\mathscr{A}(\mathscr{H}_j^{(i)})$ for $i = 1, \ldots, l$, then for any $j \in \{0, \ldots, n\}$ there exists $i \in \{1, \ldots, l\}$ such that $w \in \mathscr{A}(\mathscr{H}_j^{(i)})$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let w be a point in the complement of the amoeba $\mathscr{A}(V)$. Then for any $i \in \{1, \ldots, l\}$ there exists a nonempty subset $S_i \subset \{0, 1, \ldots, n\}$ such that $w \notin \mathscr{A}(V_{g_j^{(i)}})$ for all $j \in S_i$.*

Proof. If there exists $i \in \{1, \ldots, l\}$ with $w \in \mathscr{A}(V_{g_j^{(i)}})$ for all $j \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n\}$, then using Proposition 2.1 we can check that $w = w^{(i)}$ (see [SW-13] for more details). □

Lemma 2.2. *With the above notation, there exist a finite number of polynomial equations $\{h_s\}_{s=1}^{r}$ of degree l such that:*

(i) *The set of solutions of the system $\{g_j(z) = h_s(z) = 0\}$ for $j = 0, 1, \ldots, n$ and $s = 1, \ldots, r$ is equal to V;*
(ii) *The intersection $(\bigcap_{j=0}^{n} \mathscr{A}(V_{g_j})) \cap \{\mathscr{A}(V_{h_s})\}_{s=1}^{r}$ is precisely $\mathscr{A}(V) = \{w^{(1)}, \ldots, w^{(l)}\}$.*

Proof. Let $w \in \bigcap_{j=0}^{n} \mathscr{A}(V_{g_j})$, then for any $j \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n\}$ there exists $i(j) \in \{1, \ldots, l\}$ with $w \in \mathscr{A}(V_{g_j^{(i(j))}})$, i.e., $w \in \bigcap_{j=0}^{n} \mathscr{A}(V_{g_j^{(i(j))}})$. On the other hand, suppose that w is contained in the complement of the amoeba $\mathscr{A}(V)$. Hence, for any $i \in \{1, \ldots l\}$ there exists a subset $S_i \subset \{0, 1, \ldots n\}$ such that $w \notin \mathscr{A}(V_{g_j^{(i)}})$ for all $j \in S_i$. Consider the set of all arrangement of l hyperplane amoebas where each of these arrangement is defined by the product of the first order polynomials as follows:

$$h_{j(1),\ldots,j(l)}(z) := g_{j(1)}^{(1)}(z) g_{j(2)}^{(2)}(z) \ldots g_{j(l)}^{(l)}(z),$$

where $j(i) \in S_i$ for all $i \in \{1, \ldots l\}$. We can check that the number of these arrangements cannot exceed $n^{l-1}(n+1)$. By construction, $V \subset V_{h_{j(1),\ldots,j(l)}}$, and $w \notin \mathscr{A}(V_{h_{j(1),\ldots,j(l)}})$ for all index $(j(1), \ldots, j(l))$. We claim that the intersection

$$\mathscr{B} = (\bigcap_{j=0}^{n} \mathscr{A}(V_{g_j})) \bigcap (\bigcap_{j(1),\ldots,j(l)} \mathscr{A}(V_{h_{j(1),\ldots,j(l)}}))$$

is precisely the amoeba of V. Indeed, let $w \in \mathscr{B}$ and assume $w \notin \mathscr{A}(V)$. Then for each $i \in \{1, \ldots, l\}$, there exists a nonempty subset $S_i \subset \{0, 1, \ldots, n\}$ such that $w \notin \mathscr{A}(V_{g_{j(i)}^{(i)}})$ for all $j(i) \in S_i$. Otherwise, using Proposition 2.1, the point w will be equal to one of the $w^{(i)}$'s. Namely, there exists an index $(j(1), \ldots, j(l))$ such that w is not in the amoeba of $V_{h_{j(1),\ldots,j(l)}}$ (because we took all the arrangement in the intersection $\mathscr{B}$). This is in contradiction with the fact that $w \in \mathscr{B}$. The other inclusion is given by construction. It remains to show that V is equal to the set of solutions V' of the system $(\mathscr{S}')$ defined by

$$\{g_j(z) = 0\}_{j=0}^{n} \bigcap \{h_{j(1),\ldots,j(l)}(z) = 0\}_{(j(1),\ldots,j(l))}.$$

By construction, we have the first inclusion $V \subset V'$. Without loss of generality, we can assume $l = \mu$. The number of solutions ν (counted with multiplicity) of the system $(\mathscr{S}')$ cannot exceed μ (observe that the degree of any equation in $(\mathscr{S}')$ is equal to μ). As we know that $V \subset V'$, then ν is also at least equal to μ. Hence, V' should be equal to V. □

Proof of Theorem 1.1. If $l > 1$, then the number of arrangements of l hyperplanes amoebas cannot exceed $n^{l-1}(n+1)$ which satisfies the inequality $n^{l-1}(n+1) \leq n^{\mu-1}(n+1)$. If $l = 1$, then as we seen before, using Proposition 2.1, the set of polynomials $\{g_j\}_{j=0}^{n}$ is an amoeba basis of $\mathscr{A}(V)$. Now, Theorem 1.1 is an immediate consequence the last remark and Lemma 2.2.

Example. Let $f_1(z) = f_2(z) = 0$ be a generic system of two polynomials in two complex variables. Let $v^{(1)}, v^{(2)}$ be the set of solutions of this system (for example the intersection of a line with a parabola). Assume that $||v^{(1)}||_0 \neq ||v^{(2)}||_0$ and $\mu = l = 2$. Consider the system $(\mathscr{G})$ defined as follows:

$$\begin{array}{rcrcl} g_0(z) & = & g_0^{(1)}(z) & g_0^{(2)}(z) & = & 0 \\ g_1(z) & = & g_1^{(1)}(z) & g_1^{(2)}(z) & = & 0 \\ g_2(z) & = & g_2^{(1)}(z) & g_2^{(2)}(z) & = & 0. \end{array}$$

Where $g_j^{(i)}$ for $j = 0, 1, 2$ and $i = 1, 2$ are defined as before. We add the $(\mathscr{G})$ the following polynomial equations: $g_j^{(i)} g_r^{(s)}$ with $j \neq r$ and $i \neq s$. We can check that the system

$$\mathscr{B} = \{g_j^{(i)} g_r^{(s)}\}_{j,r=0,1,2 \text{ and } i,s=1,2}$$

is an amoeba basis of the set of solutions to the system $f_1(z) = f_2(z) = 0$ of length 9 and each of its element is of degree 2.

References

[B-75] D. Bernstein, *The number of roots of a system of equations*, Functional Analysis and its Applications **9**, (1975) 183–185.

[BGSS-07] T. Bogart, A.N. Jensen, D. Speyer, B. Sturmfels, and R.R. Thomas, *Computing tropical varieties*, J. Symb. Comp. **42**, (2007), no. 1-2, 54–73.

[FPT-00] M. Forsberg, M. Passare and A. Tsikh, *Laurent determinants and arrangements of hyperplane amoebas*, Advances in Math. **151**, (2000), 45-70.

[GKZ-94] I. M. Gelfand, M. M. Kapranov and A. V. Zelevinski, *Discriminants, resultants and multidimensional determinants*, Birkhäuser Boston 1994.

[HT-09] K. Hept and T. Theobald, *Tropical bases by regular projections.* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **137**(7), 2233–2241, 2009.

[MS-09] D. Maclagan and B. Sturmfels , *Introduction to tropical geometry.* Book in progress, available in Bernd Sturmfels Homepage.

[N-14] M. Nisse, *Amoeba finite basis does not exist in general*, Preprint, arXiv: 1403.3912.

[SW-13] F. Schroeter and T. de Wolff, *The boundary of amoebas*, preprint, arXiv: 1310.7363.

School of Mathematics KIAS, 87 Hoegiro Dongdaemun-gu, Seoul 130-722, South Korea.

E-mail address: mounir.nisse@gmail.com